

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JEROME WILSON,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0114 DFL CMK P

12 vs.

13 SCOTT KERNAN,

14 Defendant.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this action styled as seeking a
17 “Petition for a Writ of Justice.” Eventually, plaintiff clarified that he intended to bring suit under
18 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28
19 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.


20 On April 28, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any
22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner
23 has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

24 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
25 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
26 ORDERED that:

1
2 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 28, 2005, are adopted in full; and

3 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

4 DATED: 8/22/2005

5
6 
7 _____
8 DAVID F. LEVI
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26